

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,424	10/25/2001	Timothy Bowles	C-326	3486
75	590 09/28/2005		EXAM	INER
SUN CHEMICAL CORPORATION			ROBERTSON, JEFFREY	
222 Bridge Plaza South Fort Lee, NJ 07024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1712	
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/046,424	BOWLES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
9	Jeffrey B. Robertson	1712			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 J	luly 2005.	•			
	<u> </u>				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 2-27 is/are pending in the application	1.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) <u>10-21,23 and 25</u> is/are allowed.	nom consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,7-9 and 26</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6,22,24 and 27</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers	·				
_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		. Evenine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '	` '			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•			
The dam of declaration is objected to by the E	xammer. Note the attached Offic	e Action of form P1O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	ts have been received.				
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	ts have been received in Applica	tion No			
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li></ol>	prity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	* **				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	red.			
Attachment(s)	_				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) La Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 092105			

Application/Control Number: 10/046,424 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Upon further review of the Anderson reference and applicant's specification, the allowable subject matter of claim 2 has been withdrawn because the examiner had previously misinterpreted claim 2. The examiner's interpretation of polymeric monoaryl sulfonamide includes situations such as those described in Anderson below where a polymeric amine is reacted with an aryl monosulfonyl. The product of this reaction is a polymeric monoaryl sulfonamide. The examiner apologizes for this oversight.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 2, 3, 7, 9, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Anderson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,194,498).

For claims 2, 3, 9, and 26, in Column 8, lines 45-62, Example A, Anderson teaches the preparation of a halosulfonyl compound containing a monocyclic monoaryl group along with a diamine in the presence of an acid acceptor. The product of the reaction of polymeric amine with an aryl monosulfonyl is a polymeric monoaryl sulfonamide. For claim 7, here, Anderson teaches JEFFAMINE D-400, a polyether

Application/Control Number: 10/046,424

Art Unit: 1712

containing polymeric diamine. The product produced from this reaction would inherently be able to function as a pigment dispersing agent.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/046,424

Art Unit: 1712

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,194,498) as applied to claim 2 above.

For claim 2, Anderson teaches the limitations of the claim as detailed above. In Example A, Anderson teaches that potassium carbonates may be used as acid acceptors. Anderson fails to expressly teach sodium carbonate.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use sodium carbonate as an acid acceptor. The motivation would have been that Weaver teaches the broad genus of alkali metal carbonates. Sodium carbonate is a well-known and common alkali metal carbonate, and thus one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use such a carbonate in exercising the invention.

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2, 3, and 7-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 10-21, 23, and 25 are allowed.
- 10. Claims 4-6, 22, 24, and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1712

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey B. Robertson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1092. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:00-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> effrev B. Robertson **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1712

**JBR**